COMP	CSSE	DECO	ENGG	METR

Student Name:				Student Number:		Supervisor:					
Grade Band	Adherence to Style (10%) Written English (20%))%)	Abstract (20%)		Background Material (25%)			Discussion (25%)		
Excellent (85 - 100%)	The document conforms exactly to the given specifications	10	The document contains no spelling errors and only minor grammatical errors. The written style is of a professional standard.	20	The content and results of the paper are accurately yet concisely summarised.	20	The student has reviewed significant literature in the topic area and has clearly and concisely presented the necessary background material. The relevance of the reviewed material to the topic area has been clearly shown and meaningful conclusions reached.		25	The student has reviewed their own work, demonstrates excellent	25
				19		19			24		24
		9		18		18			22	the work to the topic area.	
Very Good (75 - 84%) The document conforms very closely to the given specifications		The document contains no spelling errors and a	17	The content and results	17	The student has reviewed significant literature in the topic area and has presented the necessary background material. The relevance	21	The student has reasonably considered their own work,	21		
	given	8	few grammatical errors. The written style is of a high standard	16	of the paper are accurately summarised.	16	of the reviewed material to the	eviewed material to the topic area has own, and meaningful conclusions		demonstrates very good understanding and has demonstrated the relevance of the work.	19
Good conform closely given	The document conforms closely to the	7	Occasional spelling errors and/or grammatical errors. A reasonable standard of English	15	The content and results of the paper are summarized.	15	The student has reviewed relevant literature in the topic area and presented necessary background material. The student shows good		18	The student demonstrates good understanding of the work conducted	18
	•	,		14		14	understanding of the material relevance.		17	and some insight into the relevance of the work.	17
Satisfactory (50 - 64%)	The document conforms adequately to the given specifications	6	Some spelling errors. Some grammatical errors. An acceptable standard of English	13	The content and results of the paper are satisfactorily captured.	13	The student has reviewed some literature in the		16	The student demonstrates satisfactory	16
		5		12		12	topic area and presented some theory which is relevant to the		14	understanding of the work conducted and its relevance.	14
Poor (25 - 49%)	Many specifications were ignored.	4	Many spelling errors and/or grammatical errors. Poor English.	9 8 7 6	The abstract is not clear about the paper content and results.	9 8 7 6	Limited coverage of relevant background material. Flaws in understanding are evident.		12 10 9 8 7	The student demonstrates poor understanding of the work and/or has little insight into the relevance of the work conducted.	12 10 9 8 7
Very Poor (0 - 24%)	The document fails to meet the standard at this level.	2	Numerous errors. Completely unacceptable standard of English.	5	The abstract fails to meet the standard at this level.	5	The literature reviewed and the theory presented are irrelevant to the topic area.		6	The student demonstrates no understanding of the work and/or has no insight into the relevance of the	6
				3		3			3		3
		0		0		0			0	work conducted.	0
Mark:	/ 10	00	Comments:								
Supervisor's Signature					2:			Date:			

Conference Paper Marking Guidelines

The conference paper should demonstrate that the student has achieved:

- 1. Adherence to style of a conference paper, and specifically to the template provided.
- 2. Excellence of written English in technical communication
- 3. The ability to "Abstract" a paper
- 4. Presentation of essential background material
- 5. Discussion of implication of literature review and investigations completed.

Adherence to spirit and style of a conference paper, and specifically to the template provided.

A conference paper is usually read as an isolated work, and so it must stand alone. Your communication of your chosen topic must be complete, concise and effective. As conference papers are usually published together in bound conference proceedings, strict adherence to the paper layout guidelines is expected to ensure a uniform and professional appearance of the complete document.

Excellence of written English in technical communication

Any work presented for publication by a journal or at a conference must meet the highest standards of written English. There should be no spelling errors or grammatical errors. English style should be formal and professional, adhering to the conventions of technical prose.

The ability to "Abstract" a paper

Since the abstract is often used in searchable publication databases, it is vital that it accurately reflects the content of the paper it summarises. It should contain a summary of the topic of the paper and the experiments or development undertaken. Yet it should be concise.

Presentation of essential background material

Although usually read by those within the field, a review of the relevant literature, and some revision of necessary theory is still expected. This should be concise and precise. Explanation of the relevance and implications to your work should put this theory and literature review in perspective.

Discussion

The discussion of the work should demonstrate complete understanding of the work and insight into the relevance of the work to the topic area – based on the presented review of background material. Your conclusions should summarize the work and the implications of your findings.

Generating a grade

All of these factors must be considered to greater or lesser degrees in the assessment of the conference paper, depending on the nature of the thesis.